

Prem Kumar Rattan,  
H. No. 78/8,  
Park Road, Navi Mandi,  
Dhuri, Distt. – Sangrur (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Tehsildar,  
Tapa,  
Distt. - Barnala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o (Punjab)

...Respondents

### Appeal Case No. 971 of 2022

Present : Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant in person.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 05.08.2021. First appeal is dated 03.09.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 23.02.2022.

The appellant, Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date.

Nevertheless, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission by the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

Both the parties i. e. the appellant and the respondent PIO are directed to represent this case in person or through their representative on the next date of hearing fixed so that facts of this case could be cleared.

The case is adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Balram  
S/o Sh. Puran Ram,  
Village – Roherian Wali,  
Abohar, Distt. - Fazilka(Punjab)

V/s

....Appellant

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Panchayat Secretary,  
Gram Panchayat,  
Roherian Wali,  
Block - Abohar,  
Distt. - Fazilka (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The District Development  
and Panchayat Officer,  
Ferozepur(Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 990 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Balram, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Gurwinder Singh, Panchayat Officer, Block - Abohar, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated Nil. First appeal is dated 15.12.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 24.02.2022.

The appellant, Sh. Balram, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that irrelevant information has been given to him by the respondent PIO.

Sh. Gurwinder Singh, Panchayat Officer, Block - Abohar, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information of sixty six pages has already been supplied to the appellant and a reply has also been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 2506-07 dated 23.06.2022 through an e-mail. It is taken on record.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned and also send a copy of the same to the Commission.

Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the information, after removing the deficiencies, which would be pointed out by the appellant, to him by registered post before the next date of hearing with a copy to the Commission.

The case is adjourned to 4<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Friday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia,  
Ward No.18, Street No.2,  
Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road,  
Khanna - 141401,  
District Ludhiana(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Sub Divisional Engineer,  
Punjab Water Supply  
And Sewerage Board,  
Sub Division – 2,  
Khanna, Distt. - Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Superintending Engineer,  
Punjab Water Supply  
And Sewerage circle,  
Ludhiana (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 1024 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, the appellant in person.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 07.07.2021. First appeal is dated 12.10.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 28.02.2022.

The appellant, Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date.

Sh. Sukhpal Singh, S. D. O., through telephonic message has intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case.

Nevertheless, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request through registered post with a copy to the Commission by the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not. He is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

Both the parties i. e. the appellant and the respondent PIO are directed to represent this case in person or through their representative on the next date of hearing fixed so that facts of this case could be cleared.

The case is adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Ms. Ranjeet Kaur  
W/o Sh. Balwant Singh,  
H. No. 1054, Phase – 3B2,  
Sector 60,  
S. A. S. Nagar(Mohali (Punjab)

.... Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Block Development  
and Panchayat Officer,  
Roopnagar (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Complaint Case No. 109 of 2022**

Present : Ms. Ranjit Kaur, the complainant in person.  
Sh. Gurmukh Singh, Panchayat Secretary, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 08.11.2021. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 24.02.2022.

Sh. Gurmukh Singh, Panchayat Secretary, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant on 20.06.2022.

The complainant, Ms. Ranjit Kaur, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that she has not above-said information till date.

As the information is sent by the respondent PIO to the appellant on 20.06.2022 and probably the same would be received by the appellant within coming two or three days.

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether she has received the information or not and also to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to her, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned and also send a copy of the same to the Commission.

Both the parties i. e. the appellant and the respondent PIO are also directed to be present with the information (already/would be supplied in the instant appeal-case) alongwith the relevant official record during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date of hearing fixed before the bench of the undersigned in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab so that facts of this case could be cleared or the case would be decided on merits.

The case is adjourned to 3<sup>rd</sup> August, 2022 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing in Court Room office of State Information Commission, Punjab, Red Cross Building, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

The next date of hearing has been announced to both the parties in the open court.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Manohar Singh  
S/o Sh. Gurdev Singh,  
V. P. O. – Tanda Ram Sahai,  
Ward No. 01, Tehsil – Mukerian,  
Distt. – Hoshiarpur(Punjab)

.... Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Block Development  
and Panchayat Officer,  
Mukerian,  
Distt. – Hoshiarpur ((Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Complaint Case No. 113 of 2022**

Present : None on behalf of the complainant.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 08.02.2021. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 25.02.2022.

Neither the complainant, Sh. Manohar Singh nor the respondent is present in today's hearing. They have also not sent any intimation regarding their non-availability.

The respondent PIO has not intimated about the fact whether the requisite information has been supplied to the appellant or not.

The appellant has also not sent any intimation whether he received the requisite information or not .

Nevertheless, last and final opportunity is given to both the parties to represent this case in person or through their representative on the next date fixed to intimate the status of the instant appeal-case or the case would be decided on merits.

The case is adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Ms. Kadambini, Advocate,  
4125, Abohar Road,  
SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Executive Engineer,  
Punjab Water Supply  
And Sanitation,  
KKP Road, SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Superintending Engineer,  
Punjab Water Supply  
And Sanitation,  
SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

...Respondents

### Appeal Case No. 3883 of 2021

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 05.06.2021. First appeal is dated 14.07.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 24.08.2021.

The appellant, Ms. Kadambini, Advocate, could not make herself present during the proceedings of the instant appeal case and in another court fixed before the Bench of the undersigned through manner of 'Webex Meetings' has intimated the Commission that she is unable to attend today's hearing due to hairline fracture in her back and requested for an adjournment in this case.

As the appellant was not present on the hearing, held on 16.03.2022 and she is again not present in today's hearing i. e. 06.07.2022 (both hearings fixed in the office premises of the Commission), she is given last and final opportunity to be present on the next date fixed or the case would be decided on merits.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through an e-mail dated 06.07.2022, has intimated the Commission that the requisite information has already been given to the appellant through registered post time and again. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

Nevertheless, both the parties i. e. the appellant and the respondent PIO are directed to represent this case in person or through their representative during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date of hearing fixed so that facts of this case could be cleared.

The case is adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Sanjeev Kumar  
S/o Sh. Joginder Singh,  
Village – Rahimpur,  
P.O.- Mahingrowal,  
Distt. - Hoshiarpur (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

- i) Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Divisional Forest Officer,  
Hoshiarpur (Punjab)
- ii) Sh. Jaspal Singh ,  
The Range Forest Officer,  
Dolbaha,  
Distt. - Hoshiarpur(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Conservator of Forests, Punjab,  
North Circle, Hoshiarpur (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No.3076 of 2020**

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Jaspal Singh, Range Forest Officer, Dolbaha, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 27.02.2020. First appeal is dated 18.05.2020. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 15.10.2020.

Sh. Jaspal Singh, Range Forest Officer, Dolbaha, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that he has brought all the relevant official record in the Commission so that the same could be identified by the appellant during the hearing.

After going through the relevant official record (brought by the respondent), the appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, who appeared in person in today's hearing, orally intimated about the required information to the respondent during the hearing.

Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the information, which has been intimated by the appellant during the hearing, to him by registered post before the next date of hearing with a copy to the Commission.

An opportunity is given to the appellant to confirm whether he received the remaining information or not.

The case is adjourned to 4<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Friday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Anil Kumar  
S/o Sh. Walaiti Ram,  
'Gulati General Storte',  
Dhobian Wali Gali, Subash Bazar,  
KHANNA, Distt. – Ludhiana (Punjab)

...Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer, (By Name)  
O/o The Executive Officer ,  
Nagar Council,  
Khanna,  
Distt. - Ludhiana (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner  
(Urban Development),  
Ludhiana(Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 139 of 2020**

Present : Sh. Anil Kumar, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Varinder Kumar, Assistant Municipal Engineer, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 19.08.2019. First appeal is dated 17.10.2019. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 30.12.2019.

Sh. Varinder Kumar, Assistant Municipal Engineer, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 136 dated 13.01.2022 . A copy of the same is placed in the case-file.

The appellant, Sh. Anil Kumar, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that through a letter dated 17.01.2022, he has pointed out deficiencies in the supplied information and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission . It is taken on record.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the appellant has sent letter dated 17.01.2022 to the Commission only not to the respondent PIO.

Nevertheless, the appellant is advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO to identify the remaining information.

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to facilitate the appellant during his visit to the office and supply the remaining information ( which would be identified by the appellant) to the appellant within a week from the date of receiving of the intimation regarding remaining information, with a copy to the Commission

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information .

The case is adjourned to 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Wednesday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab



Lajpat Rai Garg S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,  
Romana Street, Jaito,  
District – Faridkot (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Deputy Superintendent of Police,  
Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
Sri Muktsar Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
Sector 68, S. A. S. Nagar (Punjab)

...Respondents

### Appeal Case No. 97 of 2022

Present : Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, the appellant in person.  
i) Sh. Amandeep Singh, Inspector O/o Deputy Superintendent of Police (Vigilance), Sri Muktsar Sahib ;  
ii) Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Inspector office of Director, Vigilance Bureau (First Appellate Authority), S. A. S. Nagar, on behalf of the respondent.

#### ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 28.09.2021. First appeal is dated 01.11.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 22.12.2021.

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Inspector office of Director, Vigilance Bureau (First Appellate Authority), S. A. S. Nagar .

Sh. Amandeep Singh, Inspector office of Deputy Superintendent of Police (Vigilance), Sri Muktsar Sahib, who appeared in today's hearing, states that a reply has been given to the appellant vide letter no. 1346 dated 18.04.2022, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 8297 dated 21.04.2022. It is placed in the case-file.

The appellant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

Heard both the parties and examined the RTI request placed in the case-file and the reply given by the respondent, it emerged that the respondent has given a point-wise reply in response to the RTI request under an intimation that the disclosure of the information (demanded through RTI request) would endanger the life or physical safety of officers/officials under Section (8) (1) (g) and whether the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information under Section (8) (1) (j) of the RTI Act.

Moreover, during the hearing, when the appellant was asked that what public interest is involved in the disclosure of information, which has been sought for by him through RTI request, he could not give satisfactory answer.

Nevertheless, another opportunity is given to the appellant to file a counter-reply to the reply given vide letter no. 1346 dated 18.04.2022 by the respondent to the Commission within three weeks from today. He is also advised to send the counter-reply to the respondent-PIO in advance so that a rejoinder, if any, could be filed by the respondent, by the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to 11<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Friday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Lajpat Rai Garg S/o Sh. Harbans Lal,  
Romana Street, Jaito,  
District – Faridkot (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
Bathinda (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director, Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
Sector 68, S. A. S. Nagar (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 96 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, the appellant in person.  
i) Sh. Amandeep Singh, Inspector O/o Deputy Superintendent of Police (Vigilance), Sri Muktsar Sahib ;  
ii) Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Inspector office of Director, Vigilance Bureau (First Appellate Authority), S. A. S. Nagar, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 03.10.2021. First appeal is dated 01.11.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 22.12.2021.

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Inspector office of Director, Vigilance Bureau (First Appellate Authority), S. A. S. Nagar .

Sh. Amandeep Singh, Inspector office of Deputy Superintendent of Police (Vigilance), Sri Muktsar Sahib, who appeared in today's hearing, states that a reply has been given to the appellant vide letter no. 1289 dated 25.04.2022, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 8573 dated 26.04.2022. It is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

Heard both the parties and examined the RTI request placed in the case-file and the reply given by the respondent, it emerged that the respondent has given a point-wise reply in response to the RTI request under an intimation that the disclosure of the information (demanded through RTI request) would endanger the life or physical safety of officers/officials under Section (8) (1) (g) and whether the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information under Section (8) (1) (j) of the RTI Act.

Moreover, during the hearing, when the appellant was asked that what public interest is involved in the disclosure of information, which has been sought for by him through RTI request, he could not give satisfactory answer.

Nevertheless, another opportunity is given to the appellant to file a counter-reply to the reply given vide letter no. 1289 dated 25.04.2022 by the respondent to the Commission within three weeks from today. He is also advised to send the counter-reply to the respondent-PIO in advance so that a rejoinder, if any, could be filed by the respondent, by the next date of hearing.

The case is adjourned to 11<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Friday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Rajinder Singh  
S/o Sh. Om Kumar,  
V.P.O. – Kot Fatuhi,  
Tehsil – Garhshankar,  
Distt. – Hoshiarpur – 144519 (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Executive Engineer,  
P.W.D. (B & R),  
Provincial Division,  
Amritsar(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Superintending Engineer,  
P.W.D. (B & R),  
Construction Circle,  
Amritsar (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 2264 of 2020**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
None on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 20.05.2020. First appeal is dated 22.06.2020. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 24.08.2020.

The appellant, Sh. Rajinder Singh is not present in today's hearing.

Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative is present in today's hearing.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that information has been supplied in this case and the penalty, which was imposed upon the PIO office of Executive Engineer, P.W.D. (B & R), Provincial Division, Amritsar, vide orders dated 28.09.2021, is not complied with. Moreover, the orders dated 28.09.2021, sent by the Commission to the office of P.W.D. (B & R), Provincial Division, Amritsar is returned back as 'undelivered' vide Dairy No. 24416 dated 28.10.2021. It is placed in the case-file.

Last opportunity is also given to the respondent PIO concerned office of Executive Engineer, P.W.D. (B & R), Provincial Division, Amritsar, to comply with the order dated 28.10.2021 by depositing the penalty amount of Rs. 10,000 /- in the Treasury of Punjab Government by the next date of hearing. A copy of this order alongwith order dated 28.10.2021 be sent to him through registered post.

The amount of penalty to be deposited in the Treasury of Punjab Government under the head.....

Major Head	.. 0070 -Other Administrative Services
Subj-Major Head	.. 60 - Other Services
Minor Head	.. 800 - Other Receipts
Sub-Head	.. 86 - Fee under the Right to Information Act, 2005
Detailed Head	.. 0070 Other Administrative Services
	60 Other Services- 800- Other Receipts-
	86- Fees under the Right to Information Act, 2005

And a copy of challan receipt be submitted to the Commission by the next date of hearing.

A copy of this order be sent through registered post to Principal Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of P.W.D. (B & R), to ensure compliance of the above-announced order and also presence of the concerned PIO of office of Executive Engineer, P.W.D. (B & R), Provincial Division, Amritsar, before the Commission on the next date of hearing. A copy of this order be sent to him alongwith order dated 28.10.2021 .

The case is adjourned to 11<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Friday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

(Regd. Post)                      The Principal Secretary  
to Government of Punjab,  
Department of P.W.D. (B & R).  
Punjab Mini Secretariat,  
Sector 9, Chandigarh

Encl: order dated 28.09.2021

Sanjeev Goyal  
S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar,  
148, Model Town,  
Phase – 1, Bathinda (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director,  
Deptt. of Civil Aviation, Punjab,  
Rom No. 13 – 15, 17 bays Building,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director,  
Deptt. of Civil Aviation, Punjab,  
Rom No. 13 – 15, 17 bays Building,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 893 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Goyal, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Kulktaran Singh, Continuous Worthiness Manager, on behalf of the  
respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 16.09.2021. First appeal is dated 01.11.2021. Second  
appeal has been received in the Commission on 17.02.2022.

The appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Goyal, appeared in person in today's hearing.

Sh. Kulktaran Singh, Continuous Worthiness Manager, appeared on behalf of the  
respondent PIO in today's hearing.

Heard both the parties and examined the RTI request placed in the case-file, it  
emerged information at point no.01, 02 and 04 not to be given and information at point no. 03 only  
consolidated amount of expenditure to be provided and information at point no. 05 only  
expenditure spent on maintenance in year 2007 till date 16.09.2021 be given to the appellant.

Accordingly, an opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply  
the information (only as stated above i. e. point no. 03 and 05) to the appellant after receiving the  
required fee/charges (Rs. 2/- per page) from him through registered post with a copy to the  
Commission by the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the  
above-said information or not .

The case is adjourned to 4<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Friday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Sanjeev Goyal  
S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar,  
148, Model Town,  
Phase – 1, Bathinda (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director,  
Deptt. of Civil Aviation, Punjab,  
Rom No. 13 – 15, 17 bays Building,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director,  
Deptt. of Civil Aviation, Punjab,  
Rom No. 13 – 15, 17 bays Building,  
Sector 17, Chandigarh

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 894 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Goyal, the appellant in person.  
Sh. Kulktaran Singh, Continuous Worthiness Manager, on behalf of the  
respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 16.09.2021. First appeal is dated 01.11.2021. Second  
appeal has been received in the Commission on 17.02.2022.

The appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Goyal, appeared in person in today's hearing.

Sh. Kulktaran Singh, Continuous Worthiness Manager, appeared on behalf of the  
respondent PIO in today's hearing.

Heard both the parties and examined the RTI request placed in the case-file, it  
emerged information at point no.01 and 06 not to be given and information only regarding State  
Owned Helicopters at point no. 02, 03, 04 and 05 to be provided to the appellant till date  
16.09.2021.

Accordingly, an opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply  
the information (only as stated above) to the appellant after receiving the required fee/charges  
(Rs. 2/- per page) from him through registered post with a copy to the Commission by the next  
date of hearing.

An opportunity is given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the  
above-said information or not .

The case is adjourned to 4<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Friday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Pankaj Kumar S/o Sh. Sukhwinder Kumar,  
Ward No. – 12,  
Jaito, District – Faridkot(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Senior Superintendent of Police,  
Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
Bathinda Range, Bathinda(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director,  
Vigilance Bureau, Punjab,  
Sector 68,S. A. S. Nagar(Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 98 of 2022**

Present : Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg , on behalf of the appellant.  
i) Sh. Amandeep Singh, Inspector O/o Deputy Superintendent of Police(Vigilance), Sri Muktsar Sahib ;  
ii) Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Inspector office of Director, Vigilance Bureau(First Appellate Authority), S. A. S. Nagar, on behalf of the respondent.

### **ORDER**

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 01.10.2021. First appeal is dated 01.11.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 22.12.2021.

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Inspector office of Director, Vigilance Bureau(First Appellate Authority), S. A. S. Nagar .

Sh. Amandeep Singh, Inspector office of Deputy Superintendent of Police (Vigilance), Sri Muktsar Sahib, who appeared in today's hearing, states that a reply has been given to the appellant vide letter no. 1326 dated 13.04.2022, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 8350 dated 22.04.2022. It is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Lajpat Rai Garg, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the reply given to him by the respondent PIO.

Heard both the parties and examined the RTI request placed in the case-file and the reply given by the respondent , it emerged that the respondent has given a point-wise reply in response to the RTI request . From that reply, It also emerged that information at point no. 01, not to be given ; information at point no. 04 has already been given.

Further information at point no. 02 regarding list of pending appeals to be given and at point no. 03, information except Sh. Ramesh Kumar and Sh. Davinder Kumar, be given.

Accordingly, an opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the information (only as stated above i. e. point no. 02 and 03) to the appellant through registered post with a copy to the Commission by the next date of hearing.

An opportunity is given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the above-said information or not .

The case is adjourned to 11<sup>th</sup> November, 2022 (Friday) at 11:00 A. M. for hearing.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 6<sup>th</sup> July, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab